CAPACITY BUILDING

Overarching note: this "capacity building" page applies only for stand-alone capacity bulding projects - or for capacity building activities not covered by any of the listed categories (pesticides, PCBs, UP-POPs, etc).

| Project title | esticide Stockpiles and Addressing POPs Contaminated Sites within a Sound Chemicals |
|---------------|---|
| Country | Armenia |
| GEF Agency | UNDP |
| GEF PMIS # | 4737 |

| Indicators | Number |
|---|--------|
| Number ¹ of countries receiving GEF support to build capacity for the implementation of the Stockholm Convention [1.5.1.1] | 1 |

Note 1. indicate "1" if this is a single-country project.

| Indicators | Implementation Sta | tus | Qualitative comments ⁴ from the project |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----|--|
| | · | | team or the GEF Agency |
| Coordination | Yes = 1 | | Throughout the duration of the project |
| committee ² in place | | | (78 months), the Project Management |
| [1.5.1.2] | | | Board was composed of nine members |
| | | | (30% - 40% of whom were women), with |
| | | | a following 22 changes to PMB |
| | | | membership. |
| | | | •Ministry of Environment |
| | | | Ministry of Emergency Situations |
| | | | •Government / Deputy Prime Minister's |
| | | | Office |
| | | | •Yerevan Municipality |
| | | | •Ministry of Agriculture / Ministry of |
| | | | Economy |
| | | | •Ministry of Foreign Affairs |
| | | | •Ministry of Health |
| | | | •Ministry of Finance |
| | | | • ENDP |

| Legislative and | 0 = Not applicable : not an | | The Law on Waste - 25.11.2004 |
|--|--|---|---|
| Legislative and regulatory measures ³ in place for environmentally sound management of POPs, and for the sound management of chemicals in general [1.5.1] | 0 = Not applicable : not an objective of the project 1 = Legislative/regulatory measures drafted or revised 2 = Legislative/regulatory measures adopted but not enforced 3 = Legislative/regulatory measures implemented/enforced with corresponding budget | 3 | The Law on Waste - 25.11.2004 Decree of the Government # 1029-N as of 27 September 2018 "On approval of the licensing procedure for processing, neutralization, storage, transportation and emplacement activity of hazardous wastes in the Republic of Armenia". Decree of Government # 1483-N as of 29 October 29 2004 "On fulfillment of commitments under the Stockholm Convention signed on May 23, 2001". |

Notes

2. Include composition of the project coordinating committee in the comments column.

3. Describe the type of legislative and regulatory measures, which can include laws, decrees, bylaws, standards, guidelines, etc, in the "comments" column.

4. If the project addresses more than one country, please specify in the comments column; and also provide disaggregated data per country, if available.

| Indicators ⁶ | Number of people ⁵ trained | Qualitative comments ⁴ from the project team or the GEF Agency |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Professional training | Yes = 1 | |
| [1.5.1.3] | | |

Notes

5. Professional training is defined as corresponding to at least 3 days per person of training; if the training is shorter it does not qualify. If two people receive 2 days of training each, the score would be "0" under that category. One person receiving 3 days or more, for example 8 days, of training would score "1" under that category.

6. In view of the methodological difficulties with assessing capacity building outcomes, the decision was taken to focus on very few relevant indicators. The GEF POPs Task Force recognises that many more could have been chosen; by way of example there are no indicators for awareness raising activities.

DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVES TO DDT FOR VECTOR CONTROL

| Project title | |
|---------------|--|
| Country | |
| GEF Agency | |
| GEF PMIS # | |

| Indicators | Number |
|--|--------|
| Number ¹ of countries receiving GEF support for environmentally-sound management of DDT [1.2.1.1] | 1 |
| Number ¹ of countries receiving GEF support for promoting DDT alternatives [1.2.1.2] | 1 |

Note 1. Indicate "1" if this is a single-country project.

| Indicators | Quantity (tons) | Qualitative comments ² from the project team or the GEF Agency |
|---|--------------------|--|
| Baseline average annual ³ use of DDT for vector control in the country [1.2.1.3] | 0 | |

Notes

2. If the project addresses more than one country, please specify and provide disaggregated data per country, if available.

3. This is the total baseline use in the whole of the country before start of the project. It might be a preliminary estimate such as possibly at concept stage; or a more precise assessment such as is typically prepared during project development or as an early activity during project implementation.

Updated more accurate information should replace the first estimates as it becomes available - in that case, please indicate that the information has been updated relative to a previous entry in the "comments" column.

| Indicators | Quantity (tons) | | Qualitative comments ² from the project team or |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| | U | Achieved to date | the GEF Agency |
| Annual use of DDT targeted by the project [1.2.1.4] | 0 | 0 | |

| Indicators | Implementation Status Yes = 1; No = 0 | Qualitative comments ² from the project team or GEF Agency ⁴ |
|---|---|---|
| Intersectoral Coordination Committee for the promotion of alternatives to DDT in Vector Management established [1.2.1.5] | 0 | |

Note 4. Indicate composition of the Committee in the comments column.

| Indicators | Number | (\$ per person | Qualitative comments ² from the project team or GEF Agency |
|--|--------|-------------------|--|
| Number of suitable chemical alternatives ⁵ to DDT demonstrated by the project [1.2.1.6] | 0 | | |
| Number of suitable Non Chemical Alternatives ⁶ to DDT demonstrated by the project [1.2.1.7] | 0 | | |

Notes. 5. Describe the chemical alternative(s) demonstrated in the "comments" column.

6. Describe the non-chemical alternative(s) demonstrated in the "comments" column.

| | Quantity (in tons) | | Cost ^{7,8} (\$ | Qualitative comments ^{2,9} from the project team or |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Indicators | Project target | achieved to date | | GEF Agency |
| DDT stocks disposed ¹⁰ of in an environmentally sound manner, and average cost [1.4.2.10] | 0 | 0 | | The funds were transferred to FAO in August 2010. Project activities are due to initiate in q4 2010. Includes 10 tonnes from the private sector in Morocco. It is not clear that the company will release the stocks to the project for disposal. |
| DDT stocks safeguarded and average cost [1.4.2.11] | 0 | 0 | | as above |

Notes. 7. Cost of disposal relates to overall cost of achieved disposal: Cost = price per ton for collection and repackaging, transportation (land and sea), and destruction. Provide disaggregated data if available in the comments column.

8. Cost of safeguarding relates to overall cost of achieved safeguarding: Cost = price per ton for collection and repackaging, transport, and safe storage. Provide disaggregated data if available in the comments column.

9. Describe the operations: name of contractor, name of shipping line, name of disposal facility, etc.

10. Provide information on disposal technology and whether in-country or abroad in the "comments" column.